CITIZEN COMPLAINT: Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law Los Angeles Mayor Garcetti's Masking Order Violates My Civil Rights Under TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242

William Barr - Attorney General
Eric S. Dreiband - Assistant Attorney General
US Department of Justice
Civil Rights Division
Criminal Section - 4CON
950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20530

Paul D. Delacourt Federal Bureau of Investigation 11000 Wilshire Boulevard Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90024

May 18, 2020 [REMEMBER TO EDIT TO MAKE IT YOUR OWN!!!]

Hello Mr. Barr, Mr. Dreiband & Mr. Delacourt,

I am a Los Angeles, CA resident of 15 years and I am writing you today because I am requesting that you investigate **Los Angeles Mayor Eric Garcetti** for violating my civil rights – and the rights of everyone living within the Los Angeles area – for issuing a mandatory mask order (outdoor too) for the entirety of Los Angeles.¹, ², ³

As a natural born citizen of the United States and while living in Los Angeles, California, Mayor Garcetti's order to cover my face (even outdoors) not only violates my civil rights as guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States (especially the US 14th Amendment), but also sets a dangerous precedent that an elected official can issue orders that can or will have direct, <u>life damaging consequences to my person and others</u> if left unchecked, which I'll enumerate in a moment. But first...

TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law

Whoever, under color of any law, statute, ordinance, regulation, or custom, willfully subjects any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District to the deprivation of any rights, privileges, or immunities secured or protected by the Constitution or laws of the United States, ... shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than one year, or both; and if bodily injury results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include the use, attempted use, or threatened use of a dangerous weapon, explosives, or fire, shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include kidnapping or an attempt to kidnap, aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to commit aggravated sexual abuse, or an attempt to kill, shall be fined under this title, or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.⁴

¹ https://corona-virus.la/FaceCovering

² https://www.facebook.com/MayorOfLA/

³ http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/media/Coronavirus/GuidanceClothFaceCoverings.pdf

⁴ https://www.justice.gov/crt/deprivation-rights-under-color-law

PARTIAL LIST OF RIGHTS VIOLATED

This is by no means a complete list of my rights which are being violated by Los Angeles Mayor Garcetti's mask order, but here are a few:

MY UNALIANABLE RIGHT TO OPTIMUM HEALTH

- Wearing a mask constricts oxygen flow (hypoxia), which can lead to headaches and a wide range of health problems, including loss of consciousness
 - Lack of oxygen reduces immune function thereby increasing risk of virus infection and illness
 - o Lack of oxygen increases risk of stroke and cardiovascular disease
- Wearing a mask can lead to carbon dioxide poisoning (hypercapnia)
- Wearing a mask can cause any viruses I may have in the lungs to be reabsorbed into my brain by inhaling them through my nasal passages, which can cause catastrophic health consequences
- Wearing a mask is duly uncomfortable
- Dr. Russell Blaylock, MD, wrote an extensive opinion piece on why mask wearing is detrimental to our health and cites the medical research to back his claims, which is a must read (enclosed).⁵

MY UNALIANABLE RIGHT TO VOCAL COMMUNICATION

- Mandatory masking interferes with communication by muffling my voice and the voice of those (masked) who I communicate with
- Mandatory masking is tantamount to silencing of the population as was done to slaves of the past.⁶

MY UNALIANABLE RIGHT TO EMOTIONAL EXPRESSION & COMMUNICATION

- My face, when uncovered, expresses to the world my mood, attitude, consciousness and BEINGNESS in a millisecond upon being viewed by others and all of that subtle, yet very real, communication is destroyed by mandatory mask wearing
- Conversely, for those who follow the order but who wish not to, I am unable to receive their facial communications as well
- There is also an emotional communication that is destroyed by masking, and emotional communication is CONNECTION that we all seek and require to sustain our sanity and mental well-being in civilization
- Therefore, mandatory masking reduces or eliminates the full range of human-to-human communication, which we rely upon as a human species.

DOES MANDATORY MASKING PREVENT VIRAL INFECTION?

According to the County of Public Health Los Angeles statement on masking, there is <u>LIMITED</u> EVIDENCE that covering the face will reduce disease transmission.⁷ In fact, in a Tweet on February 29th, 2020, the <u>US Surgeon General</u> had this to say about the public wearing a mask:

Seriously people- STOP BUYING MASKS! They are NOT effective in preventing general public from catching #Coronavirus, but if healthcare providers can't get them to care for sick patients, it puts them and our communities at risk!⁸

⁵ https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/

⁶ https://www.pbs.org/wgbh/aia/part1/1h308.html

⁷ http://publichealth.lacounty.gov/media/Coronavirus/GuidanceClothFaceCoverings.pdf (page 2)

⁸ https://twitter.com/Surgeon_General/status/1233725785283932160

The US Surgeon General is not the only one who has stated that masking does not prevent viral transmission, so has Dr. Russell Blaylock, MD:

As for the scientific support for the use of facemask, a recent careful examination of the literature, in which 17 of the best studies were analyzed, concluded that, "None of the studies established a conclusive relationship between mask/respirator use and protection against influenza infection." [1] Keep in mind, no studies have been done to demonstrate that either a cloth mask or the N95 mask has any effect on transmission of the COVID-19 virus. Any recommendations, therefore, have to be based on studies of influenza virus transmission. And, as you have seen, there is no conclusive evidence of their efficiency in controlling flu virus transmission.

It is also instructive to know that until recently, the CDC did not recommend wearing a face mask or covering of any kind, unless a person was known to be infected, that is, until recently. Non-infected people need not wear a mask. When a person has TB we have them wear a mask, not the entire community of non-infected. The recommendations by the CDC and the WHO are not based on any studies of this virus and have never been used to contain any other virus pandemic or epidemic in history.⁹

And, the Centers for Disease Controls says:

[E]vidence from 14 randomized controlled trials of these [masking] measures did not support a substantial effect on transmission of laboratory-confirmed influenza.¹⁰

Finally, in a March 8th, 2020 interview with CBS News, Dr. Anthony Fauci said:

"There's no reason to be walking around with a mask..."11, 12

Expert medical opinions vary on literally every medical topic, including whether or not wearing a facemask 1) prevents transmission, and 2) prevents reception, of a virus (disease). There is also disagreement among medical professionals as to whether or not wearing a mask inhibits viral transmission. At the very least, we must conclude that from a medical standpoint, <u>mandatory masking is not justified</u>.

ARE WE GUILTY (DISEASED) UNTIL PROVEN INNOCENT (NON-DISEASED)?

In the United States of America we do not presume that everyone is guilty of crime until proven innocent – it is and always has been the other way around. Similarly, the preposterous idea that every human is an "asymptomatic" disease carrier **until** "proven" not to be a disease vector is an infringement upon our liberty, life and pursuit of happiness. Required masking is an "admission of guilt" so to speak – indicating that the Government (city, county, state or federal) has determined all citizens are "asymptomatic" carriers of a "disease" unless and until proven otherwise whereby only Government has the authority to determine asymptomatic carrier status (guilty until proven innocent). Is

⁹ https://www.technocracy.news/blaylock-face-masks-pose-serious-risks-to-the-healthy/

¹⁰ https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/26/5/19-0994_article

¹¹ https://www.cbsnews.com/news/preventing-coronavirus-facemask-60-minutes-2020-03-08/

¹² https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Eaq9JHKq8CI

this the slippery slope to ALL infectious disease "admission of guilt" for all citizens – will we be required to submit to tests and absurd mandates for all diseases, never ending?!

People with other infectious diseases (HIV, hepatitis, influenza, syphilis, herpes, and many more) have moved move freely and privately through schools and society, and COVID-19 should not be considered any different.

MANDATORY masking, social distancing, testing, tracking, isolation/detainment, and eventually, vaccination of HEALTHY CITIZENS is an abomination of our liberty, of our health, of our free will, and of our ability to carve out our own destiny in life. Germs, microbes, viruses, bacteria, fungi, yeast, etc. exist around us at all times in time and space and what actually prevents and or reduces illness and death is healthy living and living in clean environment – NOT MEDICAL MANDATES.

The role of government public health policy should not be to demand medical interventions and medical mandates upon citizens and residents, but rather, to ensure that we have clean environments, clean cities, clean food, clean water and the rules and regulations that support cleanliness and other sanitation measures so that our bodies are not overburdened by preventable filth or contamination. Medical mandates at the citizen level should never be allowed to become an order or law of the land.

ARE ASYMPTOMATIC CARRIERS A THREAT TO HUMANITY?

Los Angeles Mayor Garcetti's order <u>PRESUMES</u> that every Los Angeles resident is a threat to humanity. His mask order justification is right here:

Face coverings help stop the spread of the virus, and wearing them in public creates a layer of protection.¹³

I challenge this assumption. I challenge a regulation – an order – a law – that categorizes every Los Angeles resident as an asymptomatic carrier when there is absolutely zero proof that this is the case. And I also <u>challenge</u> the notion that even if someone is an asymptomatic carrier, that 1) that means that transmission is inevitable, and 2) that transmitting a virus to others is a grave deadly threat necessitating such draconian measures as mandatory face covering, especially when the current overall survival rate is being shown to be AT LEAST 99.8% and it is probably even much higher than that.

Currently, the vast majority of COVID-19 related draconian government orders and medical mandates are based on the assumption that everyone is an asymptomatic carrier: starting with the lockdown and then moving to mandatory social distancing, masking, testing, tracking (contact tracing), isolation/quarantine/detainment, and later, vaccination. Healthy people should not be treated as criminals – vectors of disease – through these draconian and fascist measures, including mandatory masking.

ARE PROVEN HIGHLY EFFECTIVE COVID-19 TREATMENTS NOW AVAILABLE?

President Trump has shared with us that hydroxychloroquine is a promising¹⁴ treatment, especially when used with zinc and azithromycin, with a high cure rate,¹⁵ as is high dose vitamin C IV¹⁶ treatment and other natural remedies. Most of this information is being deliberately suppressed or banned on social media while outright attacked in fake news

¹³ https://corona-virus.la/FaceCovering

¹⁴ https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04370782

¹⁵ https://twitter.com/VincentCrypt46/status/1261122553461923840

¹⁶ http://orthomolecular.org/resources/omns/v16n18.shtml

mainstream media. Nevertheless, safe treatments with high cure rates do exist even if the mainstream medical establishment refuses to use them. There is no need for panic and fear – and face covering – when legitimate highly effective COVID-19 treatments exist and can or will save lives.

INDIVIDUAL AND COLLECTIVE HEALTH RESPONSIBILITY

There are numerous instances where the current collective consciousness of society agrees (for the most part) that certain types of behavior creates health risk, including, but not limited to, smoking cigarettes, eating junk food, drinking alcohol, eating fast food, sedentary lifestyle, and so on. Government has not issued orders to citizens that restrict or eliminate these known health hazards, even though they are (indirectly) responsible for massive premature death.¹⁷

Similarly, there should be no "health orders" restricting HEALTHY CITIZENS from enjoying and participating in every day activities, whether or not there is a "threat" from a virus. Recommendations are fine, but orders and laws are not.

At the individual level, we are responsible for our own health, not the health of others.

Individually, we should do everything we believe to be appropriate to ensure personal health and the health of our immediate family, and collectively, as a society, we can make recommendations for optimum health protection, but ordering citizens to wear masks (and practice social distancing, be vaccinated, etc.) crosses the line and jeopardizes our very liberty and core American values. We must end this government overreach right now.

CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION REQUESTED AS SOON AS POSSIBLE!

For all of the reasons listed above, and any other reasons you deem justifiable, I hereby request that you open a criminal investigation into Los Angeles **Mayor Eric Garcetti's** order that all residents must <u>PERMANENTLY</u> (<u>no end date!</u>) wear masks when outside of their home. This is surely a violation of **TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTION 242 - Deprivation of Rights Under Color of Law** and I therefore request your immediate attention to this wrongful act and abuse of power by an elected official.

wrongful act and abuse of power by an elected official.
Thank you very much!
Sincerely,
[Your name and contact info]

¹⁷ https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/products/databriefs/db328.htm#Summary